COURT No.2 ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL PRINCIPAL BENCH: NEW DELHI (THROUGH VIRTUAL HEARING)

10.

RA 13/2021 WITH MA 1513/2021 IN OA 417/2016

Union of India and Ors. Applicant

VERSUS

L/Nk Manu Gopan Respondents

For Applicant : Mr. Neeraj, Sr CGSC, Advocate

Mr. Rudra, Advocate

For Respondents : Ms. Archana Ramesh, Advocate

CORAM

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANJANA MISHRA, MEMBER (J) HON'BLE LT GEN P. M. HARIZ, MEMBER (A)

ORDER 24.05.2023

RA 13/2021 WITH MA 1513/2021

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

- 2. The present review application has been filed by the Union of India~ respondents in the OA, praying for review of the order dated 21st August, 2018 passed by this Tribunal.
- 3. Learned counsel for the applicant-Union of India submits that the applicant had only completed six years of service excluding the training period, i.e., with effect from 19th September, 2003, before completion of mustering process of his batchmates i.e. up to 23rd November, 2011. He further submits that the applicant has passed only aptitude test for re-mustering into the clerk category therefore, requirement

which were required for the applicant to qualify for such post

were not posessed by him. He further submits that he should also

complete the service advance military training that is Clerk Trade

Training for 32 weeks successfully viz mid term test and the final

test to become eligible for the same.

3. We have gone through the contents of the review

application and we find that such statements ought to have well

been contended in the OA where the respondents have filed their

counter affidavit. We find that there is no error apparent on the

face of record, and if some grievances of respondents still subsists,

filing a leave to appeal application would be the appropriate

forum and not in review jurisdiction.

4. As such we find no error apparent on the face of the record

and having considered that the earlier order is a fully reasoned

order based on the submisions and counter affidavit filed by the

respondents and all issues raised therein have been well

considered and adjudicated by the Bench, we do not find any

merit in the same.

5. We, hereby, dismiss this review application both on the

ground of merit and delay.

(ANJANA MISHRA) MEMBER (J)

> (P. M. HARIZ) MEMBER (A)